

## Impact of Different Crop Rotations on Weed Infestations in Organic Pepper

Koray Kacan<sup>1,\*</sup>, Alev Kir<sup>2</sup>, Ahmet Kalin<sup>3</sup>, Yildiz Nemli<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant and Animal Production, Ortaca Vocational School, Mugla University, Mugla, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Olive Research Institute, Izmir, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Aegean Agricultural Research Institute, Izmir, Turkey

<sup>4</sup>Birlik A.S. Kemalpaşa, Izmir, Turkey

### Edited by:

Javaid Iqbal,  
Ghazi University, D.G.  
Khan, Pakistan

### Reviewed by:

Nazim Hussain,  
B.Z. University, Multan,  
Pakistan

Shahid Farooq,  
Gaziosmanpaşa University,  
Tokat, Turkey

Khawar Jabran,  
Duzce University, Turkey

### Received

March 10, 2018

### Accepted

September 09, 2018

### Published Online

December 28, 2018

**Abstract:** We investigated how crop rotation in organic cultivation affects weed density and coverage for organic summer pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) grown in rotation with winter crops such as spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.), broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*), a common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) + barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) mixture, and faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). The research was conducted in the organic cultivation area of the Aegean Agricultural Research Institute from 2012–2016. The coverage area and density (weeds m<sup>-2</sup>) of various weed species were recorded in pepper crops grown in rotation with winter crops. The results indicated that pepper grown after barley + common vetch, broccoli and faba bean showed a considerable decrease in weed coverage area and density for all years. The highest average pepper yield per plant and per hectare was recorded from the pepper crop grown after faba bean. This was followed by the weed-free treatment, barley + common vetch and spinach planted before the cultivation of pepper. It can be concluded that the selection of crops in rotation plays a pivotal role in weed management of organic agriculture.

**Keywords:** Organic pepper; rotation; weed management; weed density; coverage; yield.

\*Corresponding author: Koray Kacan, E-mail: [koraykacan@gmail.com](mailto:koraykacan@gmail.com)

**Cite this article as:** Kacan, K., A. Kir, A. Kalin and Y. Nemli. 2018. **Impact of different crop rotations on weed infestations in organic pepper.** Journal of Environmental and Agricultural Sciences. 17: 20-29.



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original author and source are properly cited and credited.

## 1 Introduction

Sustainable food systems are source of healthy food to not only meet current food needs of today, but also help in ecosystem maintenance to ensure food supply for future generations without compromising environment (Crespo-Herrera and Ortiz, 2015; Kravchenko et al., 2017; Sihi et al., 2017). During the last two decades organic agriculture has gained popularity across the world (Meemken and Qaim, 2018; Meng et al., 2017; de Ponti et al., 2012).

Red pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) is important cash crop among the condiments (Schreinemachers et al., 2015). Being good source of antioxidants, phenolics and vitamins it is important component of human diet (Alam et al., 2018; Zachariah and Leela, 2018). Moreover it provides protection against various diseases (Chopan and Littenberg 2017; Marin et al., 2004; Materska et al., 2015; Przygodzka et al., 2014; Seth et al., 2018). Capsaicin and dihydrocapsaicin present in red peppers are preservative and medicinally importance (Marrelli et al., 2016; Ranjita et al., 2018). Both are food

flavoring ingredient for many food products (Momin et al., 2018; Ranjita et al., 2018). Pepper cultivation is an important source of income and employment for millions of small landholding farmers (Kuivanen et al., 2016; Parvathi and Waibel, 2016). However, weeds cause serious losses to crop growth and yield (Arora et al., 2015; Qureshi and Arshad, 2017).

In the crops rotation, broccoli is incorporated as winter crops. Due to abundant amount of vitamins, minerals, fibers and bioactive secondary metabolites broccoli possesses significant health-promoting potential (Vasanthi et al., 2009; Jahangir et al., 2009). Among these, glucosinolates, a class of secondary metabolites synthesized have ability to induce detoxification and beneficial in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases such as diabetes and cancer (Wagner et al., 2013; Houghton et al., 2013; Housley et al., 2018). So, broccoli is increasingly becoming popular as natural functional foods. Spinach is also a good source of vitamins and minerals (Kawazu et al., 2003). Faba beans are well known to be a traditional protein carbohydrate and fibre source, and are low in fat. Faba bean is one of the most important of the

legumes, also incorporated in human diets for their additional nutritional benefits. Especially, their microconstituents including phenolic compounds, phytosterols and saponins are well known (Siah et al., 2012).

Pesticide residues damage important soil flora and fauna and pass from soil to crops and then to humans and animals (Hashmi, 2016; Iqbal et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2017; Lu et al., 2015). These pesticide residues cause harmful effects within the food chain. Pesticides leach down into underground waters subsequently harmful for the various trophic levels of different ecosystem and adverse impacts on fish, birds and many other living creatures (Chagnon et al., 2015). It is well known that only 0.015%–6% of the pesticides used in agriculture reach the target organism, while the remaining 94–99.9% become mixed into the ecosystem (Yildiz et al., 2005). Inorganic pesticides have high persistence properties in the environment.

Application of pesticides has significantly increased, which has inevitably resulted in increased environmental and human health problems, leading to acute and chronic toxicity together with soil and water pollution (Mahmood et al., 2015; Jaishankar et al., 2014). All of these adverse effects have accelerated the search for alternative pest management (Kausar et al., 2017). Agronomic weed management in organic farming may be crucial to maintain profitability leading to sustainable agriculture (Bilalis et al., 2010; Nhemachena et al., 2018; Wezel et al., 2014). Crop rotation is an important agronomic tool for weed management through allelochemicals and modification in the timing of crop and demand of resources (Farooq et al., 2011; Latif et al., 2017; Nicholas et al., 2015; Reddy, 2016).

Organic agriculture is one of these alternative production systems, and it includes human- and environmentally-friendly production systems aimed at restoring the natural balance that is lost after the misapplication of pesticides in the ecological system. It has been reported that organic products contain higher net protein, vitamin C, antioxidant and

nitrogen than traditionally produced products (Petr et al., 2004; Kapoulas et al., 2011; Langenkämper et al., 2006). Similar to conventional production systems, weed management accounts for the highest cost in organic production. The most important damage caused by weeds is reduced yields in agricultural areas. Weeds are considered to be the biggest problem in organic vegetable farming systems (Reddiex et al., 2001; Peruzzi et al., 2004; Uygur and Lanini, 2006; Peruzzi et al., 2007).

There is an average loss of 10% of agricultural production worldwide due to weeds (Oerke et al., 1994; Oerke, 2006). In Turkey, the average yield losses vary between 10% and 50% depending on weed species and density (Tepe, 1998), and this loss is even higher for vegetable cultivation. This study was carried out to investigate the effects of rotating winter crops such as spinach, broccoli, faba bean and barley + common vetch to suppress weeds in organic pepper grown in summer. The results of the study will determine the most suitable vegetable rotation in terms of sustainable agriculture.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Experimental Material

This study was carried out at the organic experiment area of the Aegean Agricultural Research Institute (38°36'36.98" North, 27°6'10.09" East) from 2012–2016 (Fig. 1). The crops grown in winter rotation were broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*) AG 3317 variety, faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Sevilla variety, spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) Matador variety, and common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) Kubilay-82 variety + barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Akhisar-98 variety.

### 2.2. Climate and Soil

The average annual rainfall of the experimental site is 616 mm, while the average annual temperature is 16.6°C. The average temperature during the coldest month is 5.6°C, whereas the average temperature during the warmest month is 28°C. The physico-chemical characteristics of the soil samples are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1. Chemical and physical characteristics of the soil in experiment field**

Evaluated Characters	Values	Evaluated Characters	Values
pH	7.42	K (ppm)	493.3
Salt	0.12	Ca (ppm)	6400
Lime (%)	8.21	Mg (ppm)	483.3
Organic matter	1.20	Fe (ppm)	5.68
N (%)	0.10	Cu (ppm)	2.92
P (ppm)	2.31	Zn (ppm)	0.68
Structure	Clay-loamy	Mn (ppm)	6.36



Fig. 1. GPS coordinates of the organic experimental fields



Fig. 2. The views of the experiment field

### 2.3. Experimental Details and Treatments

The experiments were carried out using randomized block design with 4 repetitions. The net plot size was  $4\text{ m} \times 5\text{ m} = 20\text{ m}^2$  (Fig. 2). The weed coverage data were estimated by visual observation using the percentage of surface infested by weeds. The data on total weed count and coverage were recorded at 40 days after sowing during the experimental years.

The experiment area was prepared using a passing chopper and disc harrow in autumn. The treatments (crops in rotation) included in the experiment were spinach, broccoli, barley + common vetch and faba bean as winter crops and pepper as the summer crop. In addition to winter applications, weed-free and continuously weedy plots were also included in the study. Every year in the autumn, common vetch ( $30\text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) + barley ( $40\text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and faba bean ( $200\text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) were sown. Broccoli was sown at distance of  $60 \times 70\text{ cm}$ , whereas spinach was planted at  $10 \times 30\text{ cm}$ . Pepper seeds were planted in February, while broccoli seeds were planted in August. Pepper seedlings were

transferred to the fields in April and broccoli in September. Composting applications were made before and after sowing, so as not to exceed  $170\text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$  per year. The weed density and coverage area were determined randomly using a  $0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25\text{ m}^2$  quadrat twice in each experimental unit. Irrigation was carried out when the amount of water in the root zone of the plant fell below half of the field capacity according to a soil tensiometer.

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

The data collected during the 5-year experiment were analyzed statistically using Fisher's analysis of variance technique (Steel et al., 1997). The least significant difference (LSD) test was used to compare the differences between treatment means at 5% probability. The years' effect and the interactive effects of crop rotations were significant; therefore, data for 5 years are presented separately, and interaction among the crop rotations is used for the interpretation of the results.

**Table 2. Effects of winter crops on weed density and weed coverage (2012–2016).**

Treatment	5-year Mean	
	Weed Density	Weed Coverage
barley +	44.97 d	21.25 c
Faba bean	84.92 cd	32.50 b
Broccoli	76.33 cd	21.75 c
Weed-free	148.58 b	38.58 b
Spinach	120.75 bc	35.25 b
Control	276.25 a	92.50 a
LSD (p 0.05)	0.001	0.000

**Table 3. Effects of winter crops on weed density and weed among the years (2012–2016)**

Years	Annual Mean	
	Weed Density (weeds m <sup>-2</sup> )	Weed Coverage (%)
2013	137.06 b	35.56 b
2014	181.83 a	64.89 a
2015	52.56 c	33.50 b
2016	129.76 b	27.28 c
LSD (p 0.05)	0.0001	0.0001

### 3. Results

Crop rotation had a significant effect in terms of weed density and coverage area as a result of the 4-year experiment (Table 2). Barley + common vetch in rotation with the pepper crop resulted in the lowest weed density and coverage area compared to the other crops sown in rotation with pepper. In contrast, the highest weed coverage and density were found in spinach rotation compared to the other winter crops. The differences in crop rotations were statistically significant when the average weed coverage areas of

all experimental years were compared. Likewise, differences between years were also significant. The differences between pepper applications and year × pepper interactions were non-significant for weed coverage area.

As a result of the statistical analysis, winter applications of barley + common vetch and broccoli were the most effective in terms of reducing weed coverage area for organic pepper in summer. Their weed coverage areas were found to be 78% lower than the control application. The average weed coverage rates from lowest to highest for all years were barley + common vetch (21.25%), broccoli (21.75%), faba bean (32.50%), spinach (35.25%) and weed-free (38.58%).

It was found that the weed coverage area for spinach rotations was approximately 65% higher than for barley + common vetch and broccoli rotations, while the weed-free application was 81% higher than barley + common vetch. Barley + common vetch the most effective application to reduce weed density. Therefore, the density for barley + common vetch was less than  $\frac{1}{6}$  of the density for the control plots. Faba bean and broccoli applications also had lower densities than the control plots, at about  $\frac{1}{3}$ , and were thus grouped with barley + common vetch (Table 2).

When examining differences between the years, the highest weed coverage area occurred in 2014 (64.89%), followed by 2013 (35.56%), 2015 (33.50%) and 2016 (27.28%; Table 3). In terms of weed density, the highest density occurred in 2014 (181.83 weeds m<sup>-2</sup>). This was followed by 2013 (137.06 weeds m<sup>-2</sup>), 2016 (129.76 weeds m<sup>-2</sup>) and 2015 (52.56 weeds m<sup>-2</sup>). The relationship was not completely parallel, however. 2015 had a higher coverage but a lower density than 2016 (Tables 3 and Table 4).

**Table 4. Effect of different crop rotations on total weeds density and coverage in Crops.**

Treatment	2012–2013		2013–2014		2014–2015		2015–2016	
	WD (m <sup>-2</sup> )	WC (%)	WD (m <sup>-2</sup> )	WC (%)	WD (m <sup>-2</sup> )	WC (%)	WD (m <sup>-2</sup> )	WC (%)
Barley + common vetch	53.0 c	13.33 c	92.7 c	50.0 cd	16.00 b	12.67b	35.56b	11.0b
Broccoli	45.6 c	21.67 c	90.0 c	38.3 d	17.33 b	13.33b	32.33b	11.7b
Faba bean	52.6 c	13.33 c	261.3 ab	76.7 ab	23.67 b	21.67b	152.33ab	18.3b
Spanich	146.4 b	26.67 c	185.3 b	65.0 bc	30.67 b	23.33b	108.33b	12.7c
Weed-free	232.1 a	51.67 b	190.0 b	69.3 b	68.33b	36.67ab	163.33ab	20.0b
Control	250.2 a	97.67 a	271.7 a	90.0 a	129.33a	93.33a	267.56a	90.0a
LSD (p 0.05)	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.022	0.000

WD, weed density (m<sup>-2</sup>); WC, weed coverage (%)

**Table 5. Effects of winter crops on yield of organic pepper among the years (2012-2016).**

Years	2012–2013		2013–2014		2014–2015		2015–2016	
	YP (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Y (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	YP (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Y (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	YP (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Y (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	YP (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Y (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Barley +	880.2 a	35206a	828.0 a	33117 ab	799.4a	31978 a	949.6a	37985a
Broccoli	624.7b	24987b	487.1cd	19484 cd	654.0 a	26159 a	1017.9a	40719 a
Faba bean	978.6 a	39142a	998.8a	39954 a	842.6 a	33702a	1206.2a	48249 a
Spinach	672.4b	26895b	593.8bc	23713 bc	707.3 a	28293a	1000.7a	40030a
Weed-free	831.6 a	33262a	806.6 ab	32263 ab	769.4 a	30776 a	1056.6a	42264a
Control	350.9 c	18778 c	285.6 d	11514 d	283.7 b	11496b	339.1b	8504 b
LSD (p 0.05)	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000

YP, Yield per plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>); Y, Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The average yield of pepper per plant and per hectare was determined. In all winter applications, approximately 2 to 2.8 times more pepper yield was obtained compared to the control plots. The differences in yield results were statistically significant for the 2013, 2014 and 2015 growing seasons, and the highest yield was obtained from the winter sowing of faba bean (37464.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), weed-free (36137.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and barley + common vetch (34571.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In contrast, the lowest yield was obtained from the broccoli (27837.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The faba bean application yielded 52.25% more pepper than rotated with broccoli, 31.59% in weed-free rotation, 30.03% in barley + common vetch rotation and 8.95% in rotation with spinach. The highest yielding faba bean application yielded 78.3% more crops than the lowest yielding broccoli application in the 2014–2015 growing season (Table 5).

When the annual average per plant yield results were examined, it was determined that there was a statistically significant the differences between the applications and years at the rate of 5%, while the efficiency differences between the repetitions were not significant. Among the applications, the highest yield per plant was obtained from the faba bean application (975.09 plant<sup>-1</sup>). The second highest yield was obtained from the weed-free plots (948.01 plant<sup>-1</sup>) that did not have any winter planting. This was followed by the application of barley + common vetch (904.11 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) and spinach (802.04 plant<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest pepper yield was obtained from the broccoli application (756.26 plant<sup>-1</sup>). Faba bean application yielded 28.9% more pepper was obtained compared to the broccoli application. The spinach application yielded 6.05% more pepper than the broccoli application (Table 6). Examining the yield per plant by year, 2012 had the highest yield followed by 2015, 2014 and 2016, although the differences between these years were not significant (Table 5).

Examining the average yield per hectare by application type revealed that the differences in yields between years and applications were statistically significant, and that these were more or less the same as the yield per plant. However, the highest average yield per hectare was obtained from the faba bean application. This was followed by the weed-free plot, barley + common vetch and then spinach (Table 6).

#### 4. Discussion

The first principle for weed management is to keep the weed population below the economic loss threshold during the production season. Estimation of weed population dynamics should be used as a guide for selecting control methods. Indeed, crops with different life cycles can change the interactions between weeds and crops when sown in rotation (Karlen et al., 1994; Derksen et al., 2002). Density of weeds in 19 of the 25 crops sown in rotation reported to lower than the crops sown in the same area continuously (Liebman and Ohno, 1998). Different planting and harvesting times in the rotation provide opportunities for agricultural applications to prevent the production and maturation of weed seeds.

**Table 6. Yield of organic pepper when rotated with different winter crops**

Treatment	Yield (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Barley + common vetch	904.1 ab	34572 a
Broccoli	756.3 c	27837 b
Faba bean	975.1 a	37464 a
Spinach	802.0 bc	29733 b
Weed-free	948.0 a	36138 a
Control	330.9 d	13323 c
LSD (p 0.05)	0.000	0.000

Different planting and harvesting dates of alternative crops ensure to opportunities for preventing to weed seed reserves in soil and seed production. For example, cheat grass (*Bromus tectorum* L.) usually develops before the sowing of crops such as corn or sunflower in early spring or autumn. In this study, it has found that a 80% decrease in the cheat grass seed in the first year (Roberts, 1981). During a 6-year study in Canada, the density of cheat grass was reduced by 93% in canola and wheat (50 weeds m<sup>-2</sup>) crops planted in rotation compared with 740 weeds m<sup>-2</sup> continuously sown wheat fields (Blackshaw, 1994). In a similar study in the United States, the density of cheat grass (*B. tectorum*) and goat grass (*Aegilops cylindrical* Host.) decreased significantly in sunflower and corn crops sown in rotation with winter wheat (Daugovish et al., 1999).

Weed population density and biomass in the rotation crops, which are an important element in the control of weeds in the organic production system examined in our study, were reduced with the introduction of feed crops (Anderson, 2010), lentil (Gruber, 2012), corn-soybean-wheat rotation (Gonzalez et al., 2011; Simic et al., 2016) and legume (alfalfa, pea) crops (Schweizer and Zimdahl, 1984; Dotzenko et al., 1969). The rotation of cereal crops led to reduced herbicide use in conventional cultivation (Schoofs et al., 2005), weed inhibition and higher crop yield (Lapinsh et al., 2008). Furthermore, the rotation system has been determined to be sustainable for weed control in areas where herbicide tolerance was observed (Reddy et al., 2006).

As a result of the experiment, it was determined that different crop rotations had a significant effect on weed suppression and product yield. In this study, the known allelopathic effect of barley (Lovett and Hoult, 1995; Liu and Lovett, 1993; Zoheir and Sadeghi, 2007), which occurs during the winter mixed sowing of barley + common vetch, was confirmed to be the most effective application to decrease weed coverage and density. The allelopathic effect of broccoli (Zeng et al., 2008; Osornio et al., 1987) also controlled the weed populations in a similar manner, as shown in previous studies (Bajgai et al., 2013; Finney et al., 2009). Although the application of spinach sown in winter appears to have suppressed the weed population in subsequent crops rather than controlled it, this occurred at a much lower level than the other applications.

Barley + common vetch sown in rotation with pepper yielded the next best results after the

application of faba bean and the weed-free plot. Winter broccoli sowing had a significant effect on weed density and coverage, but this effect was not reflected in the pepper yield. Spinach planted in plots appeared to be the least efficient application in subsequent crops.

In terms of weed control In the third place after the application of common vetch + barley and broccoli, third place faba bean were found to be one of the most effective legume products to increase yield by reducing weed density and coverage as a result of soil nitrogen fixation (Jensen et al., 2010; Dubova et al., 2017; Ilyas et al., 2018). In addition, after the application of winter faba bean, the second highest pepper yield was determined in weed-free application which the weeds was controlled by hand hoe. It has been shown that significant increases in yields can be achieved by weed-freeing the extremely tired soils and agricultural areas subjected to intensive farming.

## 5. Conclusion

The effects of winter crop rotation on weed density and coverage area as well as pepper crop yield were investigated in this study. As a result of this comparison, it was determined that barley + common vetch, broccoli and faba bean applications from winter crops decreased weed coverage and density and increased organic pepper yield for all years. The highest average yield was obtained from the crop rotation with faba bean. Pepper yields after faba bean applications were found to be three times higher than the control. This was followed by weed-free plots, barley + common vetch, spinach and broccoli, in that order. Winter barley + common vetch, broccoli and faba bean rotations controlled the weed populations, while faba bean and barley + common vetch increased the organic pepper yield compared to the other applications. Thus, it was determined that barley + vetch, broccoli and faba bean applications should be recommended in organic crop rotations.

**List of Abbreviations:** LSD; least significant difference; WD: weed density; WC: weed coverage; YP: Yield per plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>); Y: Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

**Acknowledgements:** Republic of Turkey Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock supported this research.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Authors Contribution:** A.K, and Y.N developed the idea, prepared initial research plan, A.K, A.K and Y.N. helped during the experimentation.

## References

- Alam, M.A., N.F. Syazwanie, N.H. Mahmood, N.A. Badaluddin, K.A. Mustafa, N. Alias, F. Aslani and M.A. Prophan. 2018. Evaluation of antioxidant compounds, antioxidant activities and capsaicinoid compounds of chili (*Capsicum* sp.) germplasms available in Malaysia. *J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants.* 9: 46-54.
- Anderson, R.L. 2010. A rotation design to reduce weed density in organic farming. *Renew. Agric. Food Syst.* 253:189-195.
- Anonymous, 2014. Faostat, Pesticide use, <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/RP>
- Arora, K., D.R. Batish, H.P. Singh and R.K. Kohli. 2015. Allelopathic potential of the essential oil of wild marigold (*Tagetes minuta* L.) against some invasive weeds. *J. Environ. Agric. Sci.* 3: 56-60.
- Bajgai, Y., P. Kristiansen, N. Hulugalle and M. McHenry. 2013. Comparison of organic and conventional managements on yields, nutrients and weeds in a corn-cabbage rotation. *Renewable Agric. Food Syst.* 302: 132-142.
- Bilalis, D., P. Papastylianou, A. Konstantas, S. Patsiali, A. Karkanis and A. Efthimiadou. 2010. Weed-suppressive effects of maize-legume intercropping in organic farming. *Int. J. Pest Manage.* 56(2): 173-181.
- Blackshaw, R.E. 1994. Rotation affects downy brome (*Bromus tectorum*) in winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Weed Technol.* 8:728-732.
- Chagnon, M., D. Kreutzweiser, E.A.D. Mitchell, C.A. Morrissey, D.A. Noome and J.P. Van der Sluijs. 2015. Risks of large-scale use of systemic insecticides to ecosystem functioning and services. *Environ. Sci. Poll. Res.* 22(1): 119-134.
- Chopan, M. and B. Littenberg. 2017. The association of hot red chili pepper consumption and mortality: A large population-based cohort study. *PLoS ONE.* 121: e0169876.
- Crespo-Herrera, L.A. and R. Ortiz. 2015. Plant breeding for organic agriculture: something new? *Agric. Food Secur.* 4(1): 25.
- Daugovish, O., D. J. Lyon and D.D. Baltensperger. 1999. Cropping systems to control winter annual grasses in winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Weed Technol.* 13: 120-126.
- de Ponti, T., B. Rijk and M.K. van Ittersum. 2012. The crop yield gap between organic and conventional agriculture. *Agric. Syst.* 108: 1-9.
- Derksen, D.A., R.L. Anderson, R.E. Blacksha and B. Maxwell. 2002. Weed dynamics and management strategies for cropping systems in the northern Great Plains. *Agron. J.* 94: 174-185.
- Dotzenko, A.D., M. Ozkan, and K.R. Storer. 1969. Influence of crop sequence, nitrogen fertilizer, and herbicides on weed seed populations in sugar beet fields. *Agron. J.* 61:34-37.
- Dubova, L., A. Senberge and I. Alsina. 2017. Inoculated broad beans (*Vicia faba*) as a precrop for spring onions (*Allium Cepa*). *Agricultural Science Crop Science, Animal Sciences*, p. 33-39.
- Farooq, M., K. Jabran, Z.A. Cheema, A. Wahid and K.H.M. Siddique. 2011. The role of allelopathy in agricultural pest management. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 67(5): 493-506.
- Finney, D.M., N. G. Creamer, J. R. Schultheis, M. G. Waggoner and C. Brownie. 2009. Sorghum sudan grass as a summer cover and hay crop for organic fall cabbage production. *Renew. Agric. Food Syst.* 24, 225e233.
- González-Díaz, L., F. van den Berg, F. van den Bosch and J. L. González-Andújar. 2011. Crop rotation synchronization at landscape level as a weed management strategy in cereals. Plant as invader as resistencias a herbicidas y detección de malashierbas. XIII Congreso de la Sociedad Española de Malherbología, La Laguna, November 2011, Spain, 22-24.
- Gruber, S. E., Wahl, S. Zikeli, and W. Claupein. 2012. Perspectives and limitations of weed control in organic lentils (*Lens culinaris*). *Journal für Kulturpflanzen* 64(10): 365-377.
- Hashmi, M.S. 2016. Agrochemical and agricultural sustainability: A case study of Pakistan. *J. Environ. Agric. Sci.* 9: 1-9.
- Houghton, C.A., D.G. Fassett and J.S. Coombes. 2013. Sulforaphane: Translational research from laboratory bench to clinic. *Nutr. Rev.* 71: 709-726.
- Housley, L., A.A. Magana, A. Hsu, L.M. Beaver, C.P. Wong, J.F. Stevens, J. Choi, Y. Jiang, D. Bella, D. E. Williams, C.S. Maier, J. Shannon, R.H. Dashwood and E. Ho. 2018. Untargeted metabolomic screen reveals changes in human plasma metabolite profiles following consumption of fresh broccoli sprouts. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 62(19): 1-6.
- Ilyas, N., F. Ambreen, N. Batool, M. Arshad, R. Mazhar, F. Bibi and M. Saeed. 2018. Contribution of nitrogen fixed by mung bean to the following wheat crop. *Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 492: 148-158.
- Iqbal, J., A. Ditommaso, M.I.A. Rehmani, K. Jabran, S. Hussain, W. Nasim, S. Fahad, M.A. Shehzad and A. Ali. 2019. Purple nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus*) control through interference by summer

- crops. Int. J. Agric. Biol. Doi: 10.17957/IJAB/15.0997
- Jahangir, M., H.K. Kim, Y.H. Choi, R. and R. Verpoorte. 2009. Health-affecting compounds in Brassicaceae. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf., 8, 31–43.
- Jaishankar, M, T., Tseten, N. Anbalagan, B. B. Mathew and K. N. Beeregowda. 2014. Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals. Interdisciplinary Toxicol. 72: 60-72.
- Jensen, E. S., M.B. Peoples and H. H. Nielsen. 2010. Faba bean in cropping systems. Field Crops Res. 115: 203–216.
- Kapoulas, N., Z. C. Ilić, M. Đurovka, Z. Trajković and L. Milenković. 2011. Effect of organic and conventional production practices on nutritional value and antioxidant activity of tomatoes. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 10(71): 15938-15945.
- Karlen, D.L., G. E. Varvel, D.G. Bullock and R. M. Cruse. 1994. Crop rotations for the 21st century. Adv. Agron. 53: 1–45.
- Kausar, R., M.A. Bashir, M.S. Nisar, M.I.A. Rehmani, M.S. Hashami and S. Atta. 2017. Eco-friendly management of pulse beetle (*Callosobruchus chinensis*) by using different plant materials. Asian J. Agric. Biol. 5(2): 99-106.
- Kawazu, Y., M. Okimura, Y. Ishii, and S. Yui. 2003. Varietal and seasonal differences in oxalate content of spinach. Sci. Hort. 97: 203–210.
- Kim, K.-H., E. Kabir and S.A. Jahan. 2017. Exposure to pesticides and the associated human health effects. Sci. Total Environ. 575: 525-535.
- Kravchenko, A.N., S.S. Snapp and G.P. Robertson. 2017. Field-scale experiments reveal persistent yield gaps in low-input and organic cropping systems. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 114(5): 926-931.
- Kropff M. J. and H. Walter. 2000. EWRS and the challenges for weed research at the start of a new millennium. Weed Research, 40: 7-10.
- Kuivanen, K.S., M. Michalscheck, K. Descheemaeker, S. Adjei-Nsiah, S. Mellon-Bedi, J.C.J. Groot and S. Alvarez. 2016. A comparison of statistical and participatory clustering of smallholder farming systems – A case study in Northern Ghana. J. Rural Stud. 45: 184-198.
- Langenkämper, G., C. Zörb, M. Seifert, P. Mäder, B. Fretzdorff and T. Betsche. 2006. Nutritional quality of organic and conventional wheat. J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual. 80:150 – 154.
- Lapinsh, D., M. Korolova and A. Berzinsh. 2008. Crop rotation influence on the weed incidence in cereals. Zemdirbyste Agriculture Akademija: Lietuvos Zemdirbystes Institutas. 433-439.
- Latif, S., G. Chiapusio and L.A. Weston. 2017. Chapter Two - Allelopathy and the Role of Allelochemicals in Plant Defence. In: Becard, G. (Ed.), Advances in Botanical Research. Academic Press, pp. 19-54.
- Liebman, M. and T. Ohno. 1998. Crop rotation and legume residue effects on weed emergence and growth: applications for weed management. In: Hatfield, J.L., Buhler, D.D. and Stewart, B.A. (eds) Integrated Weed and Soil Management. Ann Arbor Press, Chelsea, MI, USA, p. 181–221
- Liu, D. L. and J. V. Lovett. 1993. Biologically active secondary metabolites of barley. J. Chem. Ecol. 19(10): 2217–2230.
- Lovett, J. V. and H. C. Hoult. 1995. Allelopathy and Self-Defense in Barley Allelopathy Chapter 13, pp 170–183. ACS Symposium Series, American Chemical Society, 582.
- Lu, Y., S. Song, R. Wang, Z. Liu, J. Meng, A.J. Sweetman, A. Jenkins, R.C. Ferrier, H. Li, W. Luo and T. Wang. 2015. Impacts of soil and water pollution on food safety and health risks in China. Environ. Int. 77: 5-15.
- Mahmood, I., S. R. Imadi, K. Shazadi, A. Gul and K. R. Hakeem. 2016. Effects of pesticides on environment. Plant, soil and microbes, volume 1, implications in crop science, p. 253.
- Marin, A., F. Ferreres, F.A. Tomas-Barberan and M.I. Gil. 2004. Characterization and quantification of antioxidant constituents of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). J. Agric. Food Chem. 52: 3861-3869.
- Marrelli, M., F. Menichini and F. Conforti. 2016. Hypolipidemic and antioxidant properties of hot pepper flower (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Plant Foods Human Nutr. 71(3): 301-306.
- Materska, M., M. Konopacka, J. Rogoliński and K. Ślosarek. 2015. Antioxidant activity and protective effects against oxidative damage of human cells induced by X-radiation of phenolic glycosides isolated from pepper fruits *Capsicum annuum* L. Food Chem. 168: 546-553.
- Meemken, E.-M. and M. Qaim. 2018. Organic agriculture, food security, and the environment. Ann. Rev. Resour. Econ. 10(1): 39-63.
- Meng, F., Y. Qiao, W. Wu, P. Smith and S. Scott. 2017. Environmental impacts and production performances of organic agriculture in China: A monetary valuation. J. Environ. Manage. 188: 49-57.
- Momin, K.C., C.P. Suresh, Y.S. Singh and B.C. Momin. 2018. The Promising Spices of North East India: India's Flavourful Contribution to the



- World. In: Sharangi, A.B. (Ed.), Indian Spices: The Legacy, Production and Processing of India's Treasured Export. Springer International Publishing, Cham, p. 47-61.
- Nhemachena, C., G. Matchaya, C. Nhemachena, S. Karuaihe, B. Muchara and S. Nhlengethwa. 2018. Measuring baseline agriculture-related Sustainable Development Goals Index for Southern Africa. Sustainability. 10(3): 849.
- Nichols, V., N. Verhulst, R. Cox and B. Govaerts. 2015. Weed dynamics and conservation agriculture principles: A review. Field Crops Res. 183: 56-68.
- Oerke E.C. 2006. Centenary Review - Crop losses to pests. J of AgriSci 144:31-43.
- Oerke E.C., H.W. Dehne, F. Schonbeck and A. Weber. 1994. Crop production and crop protection: 181 estimated losses in major food and cash crops. Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam. p. 808.
- Osornio, J. J. and R.S. Gliessman. 1987. Allelopathic Interference in a Wild Mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.) and Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*) Intercrop Agroecosystem. In: Waller, G.R. (Ed) Allelochemicals: Role in Agriculture and Forestry.
- Parvathi, P. and H. Waibel. 2016. Organic Agriculture and Fair Trade: A Happy Marriage? A Case Study of Certified Smallholder Black Pepper Farmers in India. World Devel. 77: 206-220.
- Peruzzi, A., M. Ginanni, M. Fontanelli, M. Raffaelli and P. Barberi. 2007. Innovative Strategies for on-Farm Weed Management in Organic Carrot. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, Cambridge University Press, 246-259.
- Peruzzi, A., M. Ginanni, M. Raffaelli and M. Borelli. 2004. Physical weed control in organic spinach production. Proceedings of the 6th EWRS Workshop on Physical and Cultural Weed Control 8-10 March 2004, p.15-25, Lillehammer, Norway.
- Petr J., A. Kodeš, K. Stehlíková, D. Hubert and P. Svobodová. 2004. Feeding quality of wheat from conventional and ecological farming. Sci. Agric. Bohemica, 35: 74-78.
- Przygodzka, M., D. Zielińska, Z. Ciesarová, K. Kukurová and H. Zieliński. 2014. Comparison of methods for evaluation of the antioxidant capacity and phenolic compounds in common spices. LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 582: 321-326.
- Qureshi, H. and M. Arshad. 2017. Dual Potential of allelotoxins for weed control and improved crop growth: A mini-review. J. Environ. Agric. Sci. 12: 44-53.
- Ranjita, D.A., M. Chanchan and A.B. Sharangi. 2018. Why Spices Are Unique? In: Sharangi, A.B. (Ed.), Indian Spices: The Legacy, Production and Processing of India's Treasured Export. Springer International Publishing, Cham, p. 31-46.
- Reddiex, S. J., S. D. Wratten, G. D. Hill, G. W. Bourdot and C. M. Frampton. 2001. Evaluation of Mechanical Weed Management Techniques on Weed and Crop Populations. New Zealand Plant Protect. 54: 174-178.
- Reddy, K.N., M.A. Locke, C.H. Koger and R. M. Zablutowicz. 2006. Cotton and corn rotation under reduced tillage management: Impacts on soil properties, weed control, yield, and net return. Weed Sci. 54(4): 768-774.
- Reddy, P.P. 2016. Crop Rotation. In: Sustainable Intensification of Crop Production. Springer Singapore, Singapore, p. 95-107.
- Roberts, H.A. 1981. Seed banks in soils. Adv. Appl. Biol. 6: 1-55.
- Schoofs, A., M. H. Entz, R. C. Acker, R. C. Van., J. R. T. Martens and D. A. Derksen. 2007. Agronomic performance of Pesticide Free Production under two crop rotations. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, Wallingford: CABI Publishing, p. 91-100.
- Schreinemachers, P., S. Balasubramaniam, N.M. Boopathi, C.V. Ha, L. Kenyon, S. Praneetvatakul, A. Sirijinda, N.T. Le, R. Srinivasan and M.H. Wu. 2015. Farmers' perceptions and management of plant viruses in vegetables and legumes in tropical and subtropical Asia. Crop Protect. 75: 115-123.
- Schweizer, E.E. and R.L. Zimdahl. 1984. Weed seed decline in irrigated soil after rotation of crops and herbicides. Weed Sci. 32:84-89.
- Seth, T., Y.A. Lyngdoh, A. Chattopadhyay, A.B. Sharangi and G.P. Mishra. 2018. Export of Onion, Garlic and Chilli: Three Essential Spices in Daily Kitchen. In: Sharangi, A.B. (Ed.), Indian Spices: The Legacy, Production and Processing of India's Treasured Export. Springer International Publishing, Cham, p. 359-378.
- Siah, S.D., I. Konczak, S. Agboola, J.A. Wood and C.L. Blanchard. 2012. In vitro investigations of the potential health benefits of Australian-grown faba beans (*Vicia faba* L.) chemopreventative capacity and inhibitory effects on the angiotensin-converting enzyme, a-glucosidase and lipase. Brit. J. Nutrit. 108: 123-134.
- Sihi, D., B. Dari, D.K. Sharma, H. Pathak, L. Nain and O.P. Sharma. 2017. Evaluation of soil health in organic vs. conventional farming of basmati

- rice in North India. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 180(3): 389-406.
- Simic, M., I. Spasojevic, D. Kovacevic, M. Brankov and V. Dragicevic. 2016. Crop rotation influence on annual and perennial weed control and maize productivity. Romanian Agric. Res. 33: 125-132.
- Steel, R.G.D., J. H. Torrie and D. A. Dickey. 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach, third ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, USA.
- Tepe, I. 1998. The Problems and Challenges Weeds Agriculture and Non-Agricultural Areas in Turkey YüzüncüYıl University Publications No: 32, p. 237.
- Uygun, F.N. and W. T. Lanini. 2006. Weed Control Methods and Side Effects in Organic Agriculture. Turkey 3 Organic Agriculture Symposium Program and Abstracts. 1-4 November 2006, Yalova.
- Vasanthi, H.R., S. Mukherjee and D.K. Das. 2009. Potential health benefits of broccoli- a chemico-biological overview. Mini Rev. Med. Chem., 749-759.
- Wagner, A.E., A.M. Terschluessen and G. Rimbach. 2013. Health promoting effects of brassica-derived phytochemicals: From chemopreventive and anti-inflammatory activities to epigenetic regulation. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 964539.
- Wezel, A., M. Casagrande, F. Celette, J.-F. Vian, A. Ferrer and J. Peigné. 2014. Agroecological practices for sustainable agriculture. A review. Agron. Sustain. Develop. 34(1): 1-20.
- Yildiz, M., O. Gürkan, C. Turgut, Ü. Kaya and G. Ünal. 2005. Environmental Problems caused by Pesticides Used in Agriculture. Turkey Agricultural Engineering Technical Congress, Chamber of Agriculture, Chamber of Engineers, 6: 3-7.
- Zachariah, T.J. and N.K. Leela. 2018. Spices: Secondary Metabolites and Medicinal Properties. In: Sharangi, A.B. (Ed.), Indian Spices: The Legacy, Production and Processing of India's Treasured Export. Springer International Publishing, Cham, p. 277-316.
- Zeng, R.S., S. M. Luo and A.U. Mallik. 2008. Allelopathy in Sustainable Agriculture and Forestry. p. 66-67.
- Zoheir, Y. A., S. Sadeghi and H. R. Mashhadi. 2007. Allelopathic effect of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) on germination and growth of wild barley *H. spontaneum*). Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 13 (1-2): 99-112.

#### INVITATION TO SUBMIT ARTICLES:

Journal of Environmental and Agricultural Sciences (JEAS) ISSN: 2313-8629) is an Open Access, Peer Reviewed online Journal, which publishes Research articles, Short Communications, Review articles, Methodology articles, Technical Reports in all areas of **Biology, Plant, Animal, Environmental and Agricultural** Sciences. For manuscript submission and information contact editor JEAS at [edito.jeas@outlook.com](mailto:edito.jeas@outlook.com).

Online Submission System <http://www.jeas.agropublishers.com>

Follow JEAS at Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/journal.environmental.agricultural.sciences>

Join LinkedIn Group: <https://www.linkedin.com/groups/8388694>